arXiv:quant-ph/0112170v1 28 Dec 2001

Steering a quantum system over a Schrödinger bridge

Alessandro Beghi[†], Augusto Ferrante[‡], and Michele Pavon[†*]
[†]Dipartimento di Elettronica e Informatica,
Università di Padova,
via Gradenigo 6/A,
35131 Padova, Italy.
[‡] Dipartimento di Elettronica e Informazione,
Politecnico di Milano,
piazza Leonardo da Vinci 32,
20133 Milano, Italy.
[*] LADSEB, CNR
C.so Stati Uniti, 4
35100 Padova, Italy.
{beghi,pavon}@dei.unipd.it, ferrante@elet.polimi.it

Abstract

We outline a new approach to the steering problem for quantum systems relying on *Nelson's stochastic mechanics* and on the *theory of Schrödinger bridges*. The method is illustrated by working out a simple Gaussian example.

1 Introduction

The problem of steering a quantum system from a given state ψ_0 at time t_0 to another given state ψ_1 at time t_1 applying an external potential function lies at the heart of the field of control for quantum systems. The applications are manyfold, including control of molecular dynamics, quantum computing, nuclear magnetic resonance, quantum communications, etc., see [1]-[12], and references therein. In its entirety, the problem consists in finding a control potential function $\{V_c(x,t); t_0 \leq t \leq t_1\}$ in a suitable class such that, if $V_i(x,t)$ is the *ambient* potential function, the solution $\psi(x,t)$ of the controlled Schrödinger equation

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = \frac{i\hbar}{2m}\Delta\psi - \frac{i}{\hbar}[V_i(x) + V_c(x,t)]\psi, \qquad (1)$$

$$\psi(x,t_0) = \psi_0(x), \qquad (2)$$

satisfies $\psi(x,t_1) = \psi_1(x)$. Of course, the first natural question to pose is the controllability question for the Schrödinger equation. Since this is a distributed-parameter bilinear system, the problem is highly nontrivial, and only very limited results are so far available [1]. The problem can be effectively studied for N-level systems that approximate in a suitable way the infinite-dimensional system [4].

2 Elements of stochastic mechanics and Schrödinger bridges

In this paper, we outline a completely new approach to the steering problem for the Schrödinger equation relying on *Nelson's stochastic mechanics* [13]-[21] and on the *theory of Schrödinger bridges*, cf. [23, 22] and references therein. We remark, in passing, that this method has nothing to do with the results of [24]-[26], where the theory of Schrödinger bridges and reciprocal processes was employed to construct different versions of stochastic mechanics.

Nelson's stochastic mechanics is a quantization procedure for classical dynamical systems based on diffusion processes. Given a quantum evolution $\{\psi(x,t); t_0 \leq t \leq t_1\}$, where $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, a n-dimensional Markov diffusion process $\{x(t); t_0 \leq t \leq t_1\}$, called *Nelson's process*, is associated to it as follows. The (forward) Ito differential of $x(\cdot)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} dx(t) &= \left[\frac{\hbar}{m}\nabla\left(\Re\log\psi(x(t),t) + \Im\log\psi(x(t),t)\right)\right]dt \\ &+ \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{m}}dw(t), \end{aligned} \qquad (3)$$

where w is a standard, n-dimensional Wiener process. Moreover, the probability density $\rho(\cdot,t)$ of $x(t)$ satisfies

$$\rho(x,t) = |\psi(x,t)|^2, \quad \forall t \in [t_0,t_1]. \qquad (4)$$

The construction of the Nelson process corresponding to $\psi(x,t)$ in the case where $\psi(x,t)$ vanishes requires considerable care. It will always be assumed that ψ is of class $C^{2,1}$ with

$$||\nabla\psi||_2^2 \in L^1_{\text{loc}}[[t_0,+\infty)]. \tag{5}$$

This is Carlen's *finite action condition*. Under these hypotheses, the Nelson measure may be constructed, [15], [17, Chapter IV], and references therein. Let us now outline the theory of the Schrödinger bridges. The Kullback-Leibler pseudo-distance between two probability densities $p(\cdot)$ and $q(\cdot)$ is defined by

$$H(p,q) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \log\frac{p(x)}{q(x)}p(x)dx.$$

This concept can be considerably generalized. Let $\Omega := \mathcal{C}([t_0,t_1],\mathbb{R}^n)$, let W_x denote Wiener measure on Ω starting at x, and let

$$W := \int W_x\, dx$$

be stationary Wiener measure. Let $\mathbb{D}$ be the family of distributions on Ω that are equivalent to W. For $Q,P\in\mathbb{D}$, we define the *relative entropy* $H(Q,P)$ of Q with respect to P as

$$H(Q,P) = E_Q[\log\frac{dQ}{dP}].$$

It then follows from Girsanov's theorem that [22]

$$\begin{aligned}
&H(Q,P) = H(q(t_0),p(t_0)) + \\
&E_Q\left[\int_{t_0}^{t_1}\frac{1}{2}[\beta^Q(t)-\beta^P(t)]\cdot[\beta^Q(t)-\beta^P(t)]dt\right] \\
&= H(q(t_1),p(t_1)) + \\
&E_Q\left[\int_{t_0}^{t_1}\frac{1}{2}[\gamma^Q(t)-\gamma^P(t)]\cdot[\gamma^Q(t)-\gamma^P(t)]dt\right].
\end{aligned}$$

Here $q(t_0)$ is the marginal density of Q at t_0, β^Q and γ^Q are the forward and the backward drifts of Q, respectively. Now let ρ_0 and ρ_1 be two everywhere positive probability densities. Let $\mathbb{D}(\rho_0,\rho_1)$ denote the set of distributions in $\mathbb{D}$ having the prescribed marginal densities at t_0 and t_1. Given $P\in\mathbb{D}$, we consider the following problem:

$$\text{Minimize}\quad H(Q,P)\quad\text{over}\quad \mathbb{D}(\rho_0,\rho_1).$$

This problem is connected through Sanov's theorem to a problem of large deviations of the empirical distribution, according to Schrödinger original motivation [23, 22]. If there is at least one Q in $\mathbb{D}(\rho_0,\rho_1)$ such that $H(Q,P)<\infty$, it may be shown that there exists a unique minimizer Q^* in $\mathbb{D}(\rho_0,\rho_1)$ called *the Schrödinger bridge* from ρ_0 to ρ_1 over P. If (the coordinate process under) P is Markovian with forward drift field $b_+^P(x,t)$ and transition density $p(\sigma,x,\tau,y)$, then Q^* is also Markovian with forward drift field

$$b_+^{Q^*}(x,t) = b_+^P(x,t) + \nabla\log\phi(x,t),$$

where the function ϕ solves together with another function $\hat\phi$ the system

$$\phi(t,x) = \int p(t,x,t_1,y)\phi(t_1,y)dy,$$
$$\hat\phi(t,x) = \int p(t_0,y,t,x)\hat\phi(t_0,y)dy$$

with the boundary conditions

$$\phi(x,t_0)\hat\phi(x,t_0) = \rho_0(x),\quad \phi(x,t_1)\hat\phi(x,t_1) = \rho_1(x).$$

Moreover, we have $\rho(x,t) = \phi(x,t)\hat\phi(x,t), \forall t\in[t_0,t_1]$.

3 Steering for quantum systems

We now show that the theory of Schrödinger bridges can be employed, jointly with stochastic mechanics, to attack the steering problem for quantum systems. First of all, observe that everything we said about Schrödinger bridges continues to hold if we consider finite-energy diffusions with diffusion coefficient equal to $\frac{\hbar}{m}$ rather than 1. Let ψ_0 and ψ_1 be the given initial and final quantum states. Consider a *reference* quantum evolution $\{\psi(x,t); t_0\le t\le t_1\}$ solving the Schrödinger equation

$$\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = \frac{i\hbar}{2m}\Delta\psi - \frac{i}{\hbar}V(x)\psi,$$

and satisfying Carlen's finite action condition (5). Let $P\in\mathbb{D}$ be the Markovian measure of the Nelson process associated to $\{\psi(x,t)\}$ as in (3)-(4). Hence, in particular, the probability density satisfies $\rho(x,t) = |\psi(x,t)|^2$. Thus, if we write

$$\psi(x,t) = \exp[R(x,t) + \frac{i}{\hbar}S(x,t)],$$

the forward drift of the Nelson process is then given by

$$b_+^P(x,t) = \frac{1}{m}\nabla S(x,t) + \frac{\hbar}{m}\nabla R(x,t).$$

We then have the following result.

Theorem 1 *Let Q^* be the* Schrödinger bridge *from $|\psi_0|^2$ to $|\psi_1|^2$ over P (see previous section). Then, Q^* has forward drift field*

$$b_+^{Q^*}(x,t) = \frac{1}{m}\nabla S(x,t) + \frac{\hbar}{m}\nabla R(x,t) + \frac{\hbar}{m}\nabla\log\phi(x,t),$$

where the function ϕ solves together with another function $\hat\phi$ the system

$$\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t} + (\frac{1}{m}\nabla S + \frac{\hbar}{m}\nabla R)\cdot\nabla\phi + \frac{\hbar}{2m}\Delta\phi = 0, \tag{6}$$
$$\frac{\partial\hat\phi}{\partial t} + \nabla\cdot\left[(\frac{1}{m}\nabla S + \frac{\hbar}{m}\nabla R)\hat\phi\right] - \frac{\hbar}{2m}\Delta\hat\phi = 0, \tag{7}$$

with the boundary conditions

$$\phi(x,t_0)\hat{\phi}(x,t_0) = |\psi_0|^2(x), \quad \phi(x,t_1)\hat{\phi}(x,t_1) = |\psi_1|^2(x).$$

The one-time probability density of Q^* satisfies

$$\tilde{\rho}(x,t) = \phi(x,t)\hat{\phi}(x,t).$$

Define, for $t \in [t_0, t_1]$,

$$\tilde{S}(x,t) = S(x,t) + \hbar R(x,t) + \frac{\hbar}{2}\log\frac{\phi(x,t)}{\hat{\phi}(x,t)}, \quad (8)$$

$$\tilde{R}(x,t) = \frac{1}{2}\log\tilde{\rho}(x,t). \quad (9)$$

Let $\{\tilde{\psi}(x,t); t_0 \le t \le t_1\}$ be defined by

$$\tilde{\psi}(x,t) = \exp[\tilde{R}(x,t) + \frac{i}{\hbar}\tilde{S}(x,t)].$$

Then, $\{\tilde{\psi}(x,t)\}$ solves the Schrödinger equation (1) with controlling potential function $V_c(x,t)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} V_c(x,t) &= V(x) - V_i(x) + \\ &\quad \frac{\hbar^2}{m}\left[\frac{\Delta\sqrt{\tilde{\rho}(x,t)}}{\sqrt{\tilde{\rho}(x,t)}} - \frac{\Delta\sqrt{\rho(x,t)}}{\sqrt{\rho(x,t)}}\right], \quad (10) \end{aligned}$$

and we have

$$|\tilde{\psi}(x,t_0)| = |\psi_0(x)|, \quad |\tilde{\psi}(x,t_1)| = |\psi_1(x)|.$$

Moreover, the the Schrödinger bridge Q^* *is indeed the Nelson process associated to the new quantum evolution $\{\tilde{\psi}(x,t); t_0 \le t \le t_1\}$.*

Proof: As is well known [13], R and S satisfy the system of nonlinear p.d.e.'s

$$\frac{\partial R}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{m}\nabla R\cdot\nabla S + \frac{1}{2m}\Delta S = 0, \quad (11)$$

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2m}\nabla S\cdot\nabla S + V - \frac{\hbar}{2m}\left[\nabla R\cdot\nabla R + \Delta R\right] = 0. \quad (12)$$

A long calculation employing definitions (8)-(9), and equations (6), (7), (11) and (12) establishes (10). The last assertion follows at once observing that (8)-(9) imply

$$b_+^{Q^*}(x,t) = \frac{1}{m}\nabla\tilde{S}(x,t) + \frac{\hbar}{m}\nabla\tilde{R}(x,t).$$

■

Remark 1 Notice that when $V(x) = V_i(x)$, namely the reference quantum evolution takes place in the ambient potential V_i, the controlling potential reduces to

$$V_c(x,t) = \frac{\hbar^2}{m}\left[\frac{\Delta\sqrt{\tilde{\rho}(x,t)}}{\sqrt{\tilde{\rho}(x,t)}} - \frac{\Delta\sqrt{\rho(x,t)}}{\sqrt{\rho(x,t)}}\right].$$

Instead, when the ambient potential is zero, we get the following remarkable invariance property:

$$V_c(x,t) - \frac{\hbar^2}{m}\frac{\Delta\sqrt{\tilde{\rho}(x,t)}}{\sqrt{\tilde{\rho}(x,t)}} = V(x) - \frac{\hbar^2}{m}\frac{\Delta\sqrt{\rho(x,t)}}{\sqrt{\rho(x,t)}}.$$

The quantity

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\frac{\Delta\sqrt{\tilde{\rho}(x,t)}}{\sqrt{\tilde{\rho}(x,t)}}$$

is called *quantum potential* in quantum mechanics [14, 27]because it appears in the Hamilton-Jacobi like equation (12).

Remark 2 The quantum evolution $\{\tilde{\psi}(x,t); t_0 \le t \le t_1\}$ has, by construction, the desired absolute values at times t_0 and t_1. Notice that any choice of the "reference process" P produces a quantum evolution with these properties, and a corresponding control potential function. Thus, we can try to choose P (our "free parameter"!) so that the phase function $\tilde{S}(x,t)$ has the prescribed initial and final values, thereby achieving the desired steering, see the example in the following section.

4 Example

The aim is that of shifting the mean of a Gaussian wave packet, that is, passing from the initial quantum state

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_0(x) &= \left(\frac{\omega}{\pi}\right)^{1/4}\exp(-\frac{\omega x^2}{2}) \\ &= \exp(R_0(x) + iS_0(x)) \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

at $t = 0$ to the final quantum state

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_1(x) &= \left(\frac{\omega}{\pi}\right)^{1/4}\exp(-\frac{\omega(x-1)^2}{2}) \\ &= \exp(R_1(x) + iS_1(x)) \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

at $t = 1$. As we shall show elsewhere [28], to solve this simple problem a direct approach is actually feasible. We choose here to solve the problem via the theory of Schrödinger bridges, in order to illustrate the method of the previous section. For notational convenience, let us assume that we are in a reference system where $m = \hbar = 1$ and that $\omega = \pi$. We will often omit in the sequel the function arguments t and x. Let us take as reference evolution

$$\psi(x,t) = \exp(R(x,t) + iS(x,t)), \quad (15)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R(x,t) &= -\frac{\omega}{2}(x - m(t))^2 \quad (16) \\ S(x,t) &= cx + d(t), \quad (17) \end{aligned}$$

with

$$m(t) = m_1 + m_2 t \quad (18)$$
$$c = \dot{m}(t) = m_2 \quad (19)$$

and d is to be specified. The Schrödinger system (6)-(7) is given by the following two equations for ϕ and $\hat{\phi}$

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial R}{\partial x}\right)\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^2} = 0 \quad (20)$$
$$\frac{\partial \hat{\phi}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left[\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial R}{\partial x}\right)\hat{\phi}\right] - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2 \hat{\phi}}{\partial x^2} = 0 \quad (21)$$

with boundary conditions

$$\phi(x,0)\hat{\phi}(x,0) = \rho_0(x) = \exp(-\omega x^2) \quad (22)$$
$$\phi(x,1)\hat{\phi}(x,1) = \rho_1(x) = \exp(-\omega(x-1)^2) \quad (23)$$

Assuming that

$$\phi(x,t) = \exp(\alpha(t)x^2 + \beta(t)x + \gamma(t)) \quad (24)$$
$$\hat{\phi}(x,t) = \exp(\hat{\alpha}(t)x^2 + \hat{\beta}(t)x + \hat{\gamma}(t)) , \quad (25)$$

we find the following sets of equations for $\alpha, \hat{\alpha}$, $\beta, \hat{\beta}$, and $\gamma, \hat{\gamma}$:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\alpha} - 2\alpha\omega + 2\alpha^2 = 0 \\ \dot{\beta} + 2\alpha c + 2\alpha\omega m - \omega\beta + 2\alpha\beta = 0 \\ \dot{\gamma} + c\beta + \omega\beta m + \frac{1}{2}\beta^2 + \alpha = 0 \end{cases} \quad (26)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\hat{\alpha}} - 2\hat{\alpha}\omega - 2\hat{\alpha}^2 = 0 \\ \dot{\hat{\beta}} + 2\hat{\alpha}c + 2\hat{\alpha}\omega m - \omega\hat{\beta} - 2\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta} = 0 \\ \dot{\hat{\gamma}} - \omega + c\hat{\beta} + \omega\hat{\beta}m - \frac{1}{2}\hat{\beta}^2 - \hat{\alpha} = 0 \end{cases} . \quad (27)$$

The boundary conditions (22)-(23) yield the constraints on the values of $\alpha, \hat{\alpha}$, $\beta, \hat{\beta}$, and $\gamma, \hat{\gamma}$ at $t = 0, 1$:

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_0 + \hat{\alpha}_0 = -\omega \\ \beta_0 + \hat{\beta}_0 = 0 \\ \gamma_0 + \hat{\gamma}_0 = 0 \end{cases} \quad (28)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_1 + \hat{\alpha}_1 = -\omega \\ \beta_1 + \hat{\beta}_1 = 2\omega \\ \gamma_1 + \hat{\gamma}_1 = -\omega \end{cases} . \quad (29)$$

It is easy to see that

$$\alpha(t) \equiv 0 \quad (30)$$
$$\hat{\alpha}(t) \equiv -\omega \quad (31)$$
$$\beta(t) = \beta_0 e^{\omega t} \quad (32)$$

satisfy (26)-(29). The value of $\hat{\beta}(t)$ can be found by integration

$$\hat{\beta}(t) = 2\omega m(t) - e^{-\omega t}(\beta_0 + 2\omega m_1) . \quad (33)$$

Using the relation $\beta_1 + \hat{\beta}_1 = 2\omega$, we obtain

$$\beta_0 = 2\omega \frac{1 + m_1 e^{-\omega} - (m_1 + m_2)}{e^{\omega} - e^{-\omega}} . \quad (34)$$

Given β and $\hat{\beta}$, it is possible to obtain γ and $\hat{\gamma}$ (by integration), where γ_0 is so far to be specified. Thus, we get

$$\gamma(t) = \gamma_0 + \frac{\beta_0^2}{4\omega}(1 - e^{2\omega t}) + \beta_0(m_1 - e^{\omega t}m(t)) \quad (35)$$
$$\hat{\gamma}(t) = -\gamma_0 + \frac{1}{4\omega}[\beta_0^2(1 - e^{-2\omega t}) + 4\beta_0\omega e^{-2\omega t}(e^{\omega t}m(t) - m_1) + 4\omega^2 e^{-2\omega t}(e^{\omega t}m(t) - m_1)^2] \quad (36)$$

It can be checked that the constraint $\gamma_1 + \hat{\gamma}_1 = -\omega$ is always satisfied, whatever the value of γ_0. Matching of the phase at $t = 0, 1$ requires that

$$S(x,0) + R(x,0) + \ln\ \phi(x,0) = S_0(x) + \frac{1}{2}\ln\ \rho_0(x)$$
$$S(x,1) + R(x,1) + \ln\ \phi(x,1) = S_1(x) + \frac{1}{2}\ln\ \rho_1(x) ,$$

or equivalently that

$$m_2 + \omega m_1 + \beta_0 = 0 \quad (37)$$
$$\gamma_0 - \frac{\omega}{2}m_1^2 + d_0 = 0 \quad (38)$$
$$m_2 + \omega(m_1 + m_2) + \beta_1 - \omega = 0 \quad (39)$$
$$\frac{\omega}{2}(m_1 + m_2)^2 + \gamma_1 + \frac{\omega}{2} + d_1 = 0 , \quad (40)$$

where d_0 and d_1 are the values of $d(t)$ at $t = 0, 1$. Some computations show that eqns. (34) and (37)-(40) are satisfied by the following values

$$m_1 = -\frac{e^{\omega} - 1}{2 - 2e^{\omega} + \omega + \omega e^{\omega}} \quad (41)$$
$$m_2 = \frac{\omega(e^{\omega} + 1)}{2 - 2e^{\omega} + \omega + \omega e^{\omega}} \quad (42)$$
$$\beta_0 = -\frac{2\omega}{2 - 2e^{\omega} + \omega + \omega e^{\omega}} \quad (43)$$
$$\gamma_0 = \frac{\omega}{2}\frac{(e^{\omega} - 1)^2}{(2 - 2e^{\omega} + \omega + \omega e^{\omega})^2} \quad (44)$$
$$d_0 = 0 \quad (45)$$
$$d_1 = -\frac{\omega(1 + e^{\omega})(1 - e^{\omega} + \omega + \omega e^{\omega})}{(2 - 2e^{\omega} + \omega + \omega e^{\omega})^2} . \quad (46)$$

A possible choice for $d(t)$ is then

$$d(t) = d_1 t . \quad (47)$$

By choosing m, d, $\alpha, \hat{\alpha}$, $\beta, \hat{\beta}$, and $\gamma, \hat{\gamma}$ according to equations (30)-(33), (35)-(36), (41)-(47), both the reference evolution (15) and the solution $(\phi, \hat{\phi})$ of the Schrödinger system (20)-(23) are completely specified. The expressions of the controlled quantum evolution $\tilde{\psi}(x,t)$ and of the controlling potential function $V_c(x,t)$ can then be derived as in Theorem 1.

References

[1] G. M. Huang and T. J. Tarn, "On the controllability of quantum-mechanical systems," *J. Math. Phys.* **24** (1983), 2608-2618.

[2] A.Butkovski, Y. Samoilenko. *Control of Quantum-Mechanical Processes and Systems*. Kluwer,1990.

[3] P. Gross, V. Ramakrishna, E. Vilallonga, H. Rabitz, M. Littman, S. Lyon, M. Shayegan, "Optimally designed potentials for control of electron-wave scattering in semiconductor nanodevices," *Physical Review B*, **49** (1994), 11100-11110.

[4] V. Ramakrishna, M. Salapaka, M. Dahleh, H. Rabitz e A. Pierce, "Controllability of molecular systems," *Physical Review A*, **51** (1995), 960-966.

[5] M. Dahleh, A.Pierce, H. Rabitz e V. Ramakrishna, "Control of Molecular Motion," *Proc. IEEE* **84** (1996), 6-15.

[6] R. Ober e S. Ward, "On the class of attainable NMR experiments," *J. Math. Chemistry*, **22** (1997), 1-10.

[7] V. Ramakrishna, R. Ober e H. Rabitz, "Explicit generation of states in quantum control," in *Mathematical Theory of Networks and Systems*, Proc. MTNS-98, A. Beghi, L. Finesso and G. Picci Eds., Il Poligrafo, Padova, Italy, 1998, 1071-1073.

[8] R. Ober, V. Ramakrishna e S. Ward, "On the role of reachability in the analysis of NMR experiments," in *Mathematical Theory of Networks and Systems*, Proc. MTNS-98, A. Beghi, L. Finesso and G. Picci Eds., Il Poligrafo, Padova, Italy, 1998, 1059-1062.

[9] G. Harel and V. M. Akulin," Complete control of Hamiltonian quantum systems: Engineering of Floquet evolution," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82** (1999), 1-5.

[10] L. Viola, E. Knill and S. Lloyd, "Dynamical decoupling of open quantum systems," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82** (1999), 2417-2421.

[11] R. Brockett and N. Khaneja, On the stochastic control of quantum ensembles, in *System Theory: Modeling, Analysis and Control*, T.E. Djaferis and I.C. Schick Eds., Kluwer, Boston, 2000, 75-96.

[12] D. D'Alessandro and M. Dahleh, "Optimal control of two-level quantum systems," Preprint, August 1999, submitted for publication.

[13] E. Nelson. *Dynamical Theories of Brownian Motion*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1967.

[14] F. Guerra, "Structural aspects of stochastic mechanics and stochastic field theory," *Phys.Rep.* **77** (1981) 263.

[15] E. Carlen, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **94**, 293 (1984).

[16] E. Nelson. *Quantum Fluctuations*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1985.

[17] Ph. Blanchard, Ph. Combe and W. Zheng. *Math. and Physical Aspects of Stochastic Mechanics*. Lect. Notes in Physics vol. 281, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1987.

[18] M. Pavon, "Hamilton's principle in stochastic mechanics," *J. Math. Phys.* **36** (1995), 6774.

[19] M. Pavon, "A new formulation of stochastic mechanics," *Physics Letters A* **209** (1995) 143-149.

[20] F. Guerra, "Introduction to Nelson stochastic mechanics as a model for quantum mechanics," in *The Foundation of Quantum Mechanics*, Kluwer, Amsterdam, 1995.

[21] M. Pavon, "Derivation of the wavefunction collapse in the context of Nelson's stochastic mechanics," *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **40** (1999), 5565-5577.

[22] H. Föllmer, "Random fields and diffusion processes," in: *Ècole d'Ètè de Probabilitès de Saint-Flour XV-XVII*, edited by P. L. Hennequin, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1988, vol.1362,102-203

[23] E. Schrödinger, "Über die Umkehrung der Naturgesetze," *Sitzungsberichte der Preuss Akad. Wissen. Berlin, Phys. Math. Klasse* (1931), 144-153.

[24] J.C.Zambrini, "Stochastic mechanics according to E. Schrödinger," *Phys. Rev.* A 33 (1986) 1532.

[25] M. Nagasawa, "Transformations of diffusions and Schrödinger processes," *Prob. Th. Rel. Fields* **82** (1989), 109-136.

[26] B.C.Levy and A.J.Krener, "Kinematics and dynamics of reciprocal diffusions," *J.Math.Phys.* **34** (1993) 1846, and "Stochastic mechanics of reciprocal diffusions," *J.Math.Phys.* **37** (1996), 769.

[27] D. Bohm and B. J. Hiley, "Non-locality and locality in the stochastic interpretation of quantum mechanics," *Phys. Rep* **172** (1989), 93-122.

[28] A. Beghi, A. Ferrante and M.Pavon, under preparation.